

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,913	01/29/2004	Toshihiro Kujirai	501.43439X00	2645	
20457 7590 09/28/2007 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			OPSASNICK,	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
	SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	•	1	2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,913	KUJIRAI, TOSHIHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	<i>,</i> —				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 1/29/04 is/are: a)☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/765,913

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmid et al

(7139709).

As per claim 1, Schmid et al (7139709) teaches control of speech interaction

application via a middleware component (Fig. 2), wherein multiple speak commands are

present in multiple applications (col. 10 line 1). The middleware layer has the capability

of controlling the operation states of recognition, including timing issues (such as priority

as to which application has control, etc. – col. 10 lines 2-7). Furthermore, Schmid et al

(7139709) teaches multicommand, multiapplication capability thru the SAPI server (Fig.

16), wherein one application may be a command/control application and the other a

speech recognition application. The commands give control the application as well.

As per claim 2, Schmid et al (7139709) teaches global as well as localized

application specific commands (col. 16 lines 23-37).

Art Unit: 2626

ý , , , *

As per claims 3-5, <u>Schmid et al (7139709)</u> teaches specific grammars for the particular application that has control (i.e., the currently interacting application has control, and therefore, first priority to that specific grammar – col. 15 line 60 to col. 16 line 9). In other words, <u>Schmid et al (7139709)</u> teaches application status dependent control of the SAPI.

As per claims 6-9, Schmid et al (7139709) teaches global as well as localized application specific commands (col. 16 lines 23-37), wherein based upon the priority and matching of the commands, choosing the appropriate application (col. 15 line 60 to col. 16 line 9).

As per claims 10-15, <u>Schmid et al (7139709)</u> teaches based upon priority, application state, and recognition results, forwarding/controlling the associated application (Fig. 7,8, and the steps of Fig. 17; col. 16 lines 10-60).

5. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Hirayama et al</u> (6708150).

As per claims 19,20, <u>Hirayama et al (6708150)</u> teaches route guides and a vehicle that uses speech recognition and display (abstract, col. 1 lines 55-65; col. 2 lines 1-10), along with a management unit for managing global commands including the situation of unrecognized commands (col. 12 lines 40-65; and col. 13 line 50 – col. 14 line9 – examiner notes the use of commands for specific entries, and the length dependency of

Art Unit: 2626

the utterance vs the specific category – col. 16 lines 10-54). Furthermore, the valid word lists are determined by the current status of the system – either searching for map information, displaying information, or looking up addresses (col. 17 lines 15-35).

Page 5

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL OPSASNICK PRIMARY EXAMINER

mno AU2626 09/25/07